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Disclaimer

The information contained in this extended presentation is not intended to reflect AMA, CMS, NATA, any district or state division of NATA, state Medicaid and/or any private third party carrier policy. Further, this information is intended to be informative and does not supersede state/provincial licensing boards’ ethical guidelines and/or local, state, provincial or national regulations and/or laws. Further, Local Coverage Determination and specific health care contracts supersede the information presented. The information contained herein is meant to provide practitioners involved in athletic training services with the latest information available to the presenter regarding the issues addressed. The ultimate responsibility of the validity, utility and application of the information contained herein lies with the individual and/or institution using this information and not with any supporting organization and/or the author of this presentation. Finally, note that the CPT system is copyrighted and the information contained should be treated as such. CPT information is provided as a source of education to the readers of the materials contained.
Definitions

- Health Care Provider Advisory Committee (HCPAC) – all non-physician health care providers such as AT, DPM, DC, OD, MT, PT, OT, SLP, amongst others
- Physician: MD or DO
- Qualified Health Care Professional
- Co-morbidities
- PM&R 97000 series
CPT Code Set

- Developed in 1966; Owned and maintained by the American Medical Association (AMA)
- Updated annually: codes are added, deleted, wording changes, clarifications;
- Used for reporting procedures and services
- 97000 Series - Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation (PM&R)
Code Creation

• AMA CPT Advisory Panel
  – Comprised of MDs/Dos of various specialities, HCPAC, Billers/Coders, CMS, Payors, AMA staff
  – Meets three times per year to review code language, assess supporting documents, and vote for acceptance or rejection of code

• Relative Value Unit (RVU) Process
  – Each code is given a $ value based on:
    • Provider Work / Practice Expense / Overhead
  – Adjustments based on geography
Background

Why Change the evaluation codes?
Alternate Payment System

• Middle Class Tax Relief & Job Creation Act 2012
  – Required a review and study of the current payment system for outpatient therapy and recommend ways to reform the system

• Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC) Meeting - September 2012
  – In 2011, Medicare spent $5.7 Billion on outpatient therapy for 4.9 million patients (PT, OT, SLP)
  – Spending had increased 33% in 7 years
What does that mean?

• Reform the system to:
  – Better reflect the needs of the patients
  – Measure functional status
  – Reflect improvement as a result of therapy
  – Better reflect the work provided by practitioners

• Removal of the “time based system” of separately reportable codes?

• Bundling of the codes in the future?
Timeline

- **October 2012 AMA CPT Meeting**
  - Reform payment from fee-for-service to a bundled payment system
  - Payment based upon patient Severity & the Intensity of work by practitioner
- **November 2012**
  - PM&R Workgroup formed by CPT Panel to redesign the PM&R code set 97XXX
  - Active Participants: AT, PT, OT, SLP, MT, PM&R, DPM, DC and OD
Evaluation / Re-evaluation

• May 2014 AMA CPT Meeting
  – Final Structure Agreement

• 3 Level of AT Evaluation (ATE)
  – The level of the athletic training evaluation performed is dependent on clinical decision-making and on the nature of the patient’s condition (severity).
    – Low / Moderate / High Complexity

• 1 Level Re-Evaluation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Severity</td>
<td>A problem in which the risk of morbidity without treatment is low; there is little to no risk of mortality without treatment; full recovery without functional impairment is expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Severity</td>
<td>A problem in which the risk of morbidity without treatment is moderate; there is moderate risk of mortality without treatment; uncertain prognosis or increased probability of prolonged functional impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Severity</td>
<td>A problem which the risk of morbidity without treatment is high to extreme; there is a moderate to high risk of mortality without treatment or high probability of severe, prolonged functional impairment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NATA Development of Language

• Expert Panel of ATs from across the country and a variety of practice settings (educators, researchers, clinical, college, hospital)
• Developed key wording and language
• Creation of a working document
• Development of clinical vignettes
NATA Pilot Testing

- Research provider – Datalys Center
- Invitation to 7,380 ATs from clinical and hospital practice settings; 251 participants
  - On-line survey - three-week data collection period
  - Introduced revised codes for AT Evaluation
  - Presented clinical scenario vignettes developed with the assistance of AT content experts
- Analysis, expert panel review, and final report to NATA
- Results to AMA CPT Panel October 2014
Purpose of Pilot Testing

• Language –
  – Clear? Concise? Accurate?

• Structure –
  – Ease of use? Appropriate?

• Accurate Coding –
  – Patient vignette matched to proper level?
  – Stands up to audit process
AT Evaluation Code Survey Results

- 90% felt AT Evaluation code set was easy to understand

- Comments:
  - Terminology was straightforward
  - Coding descriptors assisted in the understanding
  - Past clinical experience in coding beneficial
AT Survey Recommendations

• Survey of ATs resulted in no recommendations concerning structure or terminology of proposed code set.
• Participants overwhelmingly felt the code set was appropriate for the practice of athletic training.
• All other recommendations pertain to internal professional education and training of the new code set.
February 2015

- AMA CPT Panel expressed need for PT, OT & AT to have consistent, similar language
- AT Eval language accepted by the CPT Panel after added specific #’s/quantifying factors for auditing and clarity purposes
- Adding time based “component” to the Eval levels to be finalized
The Language Specifics

AT Evaluation and Re-Evaluation
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Introductory Language

- Athletic training evaluations include a patient hx and an examination with development of plan of care, conducted by the physician or other qualified healthcare professional (QHCP).
- Coordination, consultation, and collaboration of care with physicians, other QHCP, or agencies is provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the needs of the patient, family, and/or other caregivers.
- At a minimum, each of the following components noted in the code descriptors must be documented, in order to report the selected level of AT evaluation.
Intro Continued

• Athletic training evaluations include the following components:
  – History and physical activity profile
  – Examination
  – Clinical decision making
  – Development of plan of care

• Definitions – see page 667
97169 Athletic training evaluation, low complexity, requiring these components:

• A history and physical activity profile with no comorbidities that affect physical activity;

• An examination of affected body area and other symptomatic or related systems addressing 1-2 elements from any of the following: body structures, physical activity, and/or participation deficiencies; and

• Clinical decision making of low complexity using standardized patient assessment instrument and/or measureable assessment of functional outcome.

• Typically, 15 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family.
97170 Athletic training evaluation, moderate complexity, requiring these components:

- A medical hx and physical activity profile with 1-2 comorbidities that affect physical activity;
- An examination of affected body area and other symptomatic or related systems addressing a total of 3 or more elements from any of the following: body structures, physical activity, and/or participation deficiencies; and
- Clinical decision making of moderate complexity using standardized patient assessment instrument and/or measureable assessment of functional outcome.
- Typically, 30 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family.
97170 Athletic training evaluation, high complexity, requiring these components:

- A medical hx and physical activity profile with 3 or more comorbidities that affect physical activity;
- A comprehensive examination of body systems using standardized tests and measures addressing a total of 4 or more elements from any of the following: body structures, physical activity, and/or participation deficiencies;
- Clinical presentation with unstable and unpredictable characteristics; and
- Clinical decision making of high complexity using standardized patient assessment instrument and/or measureable assessment of functional outcome.
- Typically, 45 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family.
97172 Re-evaluation of athletic training established plan of care requiring these components:

- An assessment of patient’s current functional status when there is a documented change; and
- A revised plan of care using a standardized patient assessment instrument and/or measurable assessment of functional outcome with an update in management options, goals, and interventions.
NEW AT EVALCODES: NOW WHAT?
CMS Ruling Fall 2016

• Concerns expressed by CMS:
  – Lack of data to support the three levels of Evaluation
  – Improper upcoding is possible

• Ruling:
  – 2017 all three levels will be paid the same amount as in 2016

• Gather more data and information in 2017
Educating ATs

- Getting the word out – NATA
  - Board of Directors
  - NATA News
  - Website – Changes in FAQs Section
- Educating ATs
  - Regardless of practice setting
  - District and State Meetings
- Educating the Educators/CAATE
- BOC
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Important to Know

- January 1, 2017 – Implement three levels of AT evaluation
- Check with all of your payors to determine if you should continue to use the 2016 codes or 2017 codes, and what date are they effective?
- Be ready for denials with the new system
  - Plan ahead financially for extended payments
- Also, check with work comp carriers!
Thank you!